

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/792,067	03/03/2004	Robert P. Julius	NPP 3.0-014	4206	
530 7590 01/29/2007 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			EXAM	EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK	& MENTLIK		BOLLINGE	BOLLINGER, DAVID H	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, ,			3653		
		,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/792,067		JULIUS, ROBERT P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David H. Bollinger	3653	

	Examiner	Art Unit				
· ·	David H. Bollinger	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff cice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba īdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 January 2007</u> . A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • • •	maliant Amondment	(DTOL 324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amendment '	(F TOL-324).			
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 66-82.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-19,22,24-45 and 49-65 as per the I</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>Final Rejection</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the data of filing a Ni	otion of Annoal will no	t ha amtawad			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or atţach	ied.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:	4	David-H Bollinger Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3653	Solling .			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claims 1, 3-19, 43-45, 49-55 changing the invention from a dispenser to a holder for a wet wipe dispenser raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The amendment to claim 1 and 22 to require the predetermined angle be a positive angel raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Finally, the addition of new claims 83-89 also raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.